

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/561,700 | 11/10/2006 | 1sa Odidi | 221904-1050 | 8166 |
| 24594 75905 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HOR STEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E. STE 1500 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PURDY, KYLE A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1611 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/09/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/561,700
 ODIDI ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Kyle Purdy
 1611

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kyle Purdy

| (1) 1410 1 0101 | (0) <u>IIII 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</u> | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| (2) <u>Michael Woodward</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 09 June 2007. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant | 2) applicant's representative] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-28 and 31-45</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: $\underline{\textit{Phillips and Bergstrand}}$. | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \boxtimes N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Response to restriction incorrectly listed claims within a restricted groups as withdrawn. Applicant requested that these claims be switched from withdrawn to pending and thus incorporated back into the claim set for examination. Prior art was briefly discussed.

(3)Mr Hazer

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kyle Purdy/ Examiner, Art Unit 1611 June 17, 2008 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080617